

FILED 06 NOV 27 16:44 USDC-ORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KEVIN S. CURTIS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil No. 05-1525-TC
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
CITY OF REDMOND, an incorpora-	)	
ted subdivision of the State	)	
of Oregon; and MICHAEL	)	
PATTERSON, city manager of the	)	
City of Redmond, in his	)	
official capacity and as an	)	
individual,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on September 18, 2006, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo


determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed September 18, 2006, in its entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (#20) is granted and this case is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of Nov., 2006.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE